

**ATTACHMENTS**

DRAFT

## ATTACHMENT A

### ACRONYMS

ADA	American Disabilities Act
AI	Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing
CADV	Committee Against Domestic Violence
CAPER	Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report
CBRC	Community Business Resource Center
CDBG	Community Development Block Grant
CoC	Continuum of Care
COSCD	Council of State Community Development Agencies
CPD	Community Planning and Development
ED	Economic Development
ESG	Emergency Shelter Grant
FHIP	Fair Housing Initiatives Program
FISH	Friends in Service Helping
GOED	Governor's Office of Economic Development
HMIS	Homeless Management Inventory System
HOPWA	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS
HQS	Housing Quality Standards
HS	Housing
HTC	HomeTown Competitiveness Program
HUD	U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
IDIS	HUD Integrated Disbursement and Information System
LIHTC	Low Income Housing Tax Credit
LIHTF	Low Income Housing Trust Fund
LMI	Low – Moderate Income
NAC	Nevada Administrative Code
NCED	Nevada Commission on Economic Development
NHD	Nevada Housing Division
NRDC	Nevada Rural Development Council
NSP	Neighborhood Stabilization Program
NrWA	Nevada Rural Water Association
NWCDI	North West Community Development Institute
PATH	Provisional Assistance and Temporary Housing
PER	Preliminary Engineering Report
PF	Public Facility
PS	Public Service
PY	Program Year
RCAC	Rural Community Assistance Corporation
RLF	Revolving Loan Fund
RNCoC	Rural Nevada Continuum of Care
SBDC	Small Business Development Center
SHP	Supportive Housing Program
UGLG	Unit of General Local Government

ATTACHMENT B. CDBG – PER: PR 28's

DRAFT

## ATTACHMENT C: ESG Performance Standards Outcomes

State ESG funds were provided to rural communities and the City of Reno for shelter operation costs, homeless prevention and rapid re-housing programs. The State was required to develop Performance Standards for the rural and northern recipients that were in alignment with local CoC's. Those standards, along with results from this past year, are reflected below.

STATE ESG PERFORMANCE STANDARDS (Rural CoC)	
<b>Objective #1</b>	<b>Average length of stay in homeless shelter shall be reduced</b>
Outcome #1	The average length of stay in shelter is less than 45 days, and program participant has exited successfully into transitional or permanent housing
Results #1	Average length of stay was 47.52 days. (Average for homeless shelters was 34 days; domestic violence shelters was 74 days.) 63.33% of persons served exited to permanent housing
<b>Objective #2</b>	<b>Reduce returns to homelessness</b>
Outcome #2	Decrease the number of persons that return to homelessness after exiting an ESG-funded program by 20%
Results #2	8.5% of clients assisted in all ESG funded programs exited to homelessness
<b>Objective #3</b>	<b>Adults will obtain employment prior to program exit</b>
Outcome #3	At least 10% of adults will obtain employment at program exit
Results #3	12.20% of adults served obtained employment by program exit.
<b>Objective #4</b>	<b>Improve employment income for adults in household</b>
Outcome #4	At least 5% of disabled adults gained employment income; or at least 20% of non-disabled adults have maintained or increased employment income prior to program exit.
Results #4	4.59% of disabled adults gained employment income prior to program exit. 27% of non-disabled adults maintained or increased employment income prior to program exit.
<b>Objective #5</b>	<b>Adults will obtain cash income sources other than employment by program exit</b>
Outcome #5	At least 54% of adults will obtain income from other cash income sources (SSI/SSDI, veteran's benefits, etc.)
Results #5	30% of adults obtained income from other cash income sources by program exit.
<b>Objective #6</b>	<b>Increase percentage of persons who have obtained mainstream benefits or other non-cash income at program exit</b>
Outcome #6	At least 56% of persons will obtain access to mainstream benefits at program exit
Results #6	76% of adults obtained mainstream benefits or other non-cash income by program exit.
<b>Objective #7</b>	<b>Increase the number of homeless families with access to housing and stabilization services (RRH Only)</b>
Outcome #7	20% of households served during the year in RRH will be <b>homeless families with children</b>
Results #7	29% of Rapid Re-Housing households were households with at least one adult with children

<b>Objective #8</b>	<b>Prevent homelessness for families and unaccompanied youth (HP Only)</b>
Outcome #8	At least 25% of <b>homeless prevention</b> program participants served will included <b>families and/or unaccompanied youth</b>
Results #8	88% of Homeless Prevention households were families.
<b>Objective #9</b>	<b>Projects will serve "harder-to-serve" homeless populations</b>
Outcome #9	At least 10% of persons served by program at entry into shelter or other program provided with ESG funds will have at least one of the following issues: mental illness, alcohol abuse, drug abuse, chronic health condition, HIV, developmental disabilities, physical disabilities, or are chronically homeless
Results #9	33% of homeless persons served were "harder-to-serve" populations
<b>Objective #10</b>	<b>Increase the number of veteran's provided referral to permanent housing</b>
Outcome #10	25% of homeless veterans served will be provided referral to permanent housing
Results #10	59% of homeless veterans served accessed permanent housing
<b>STATE ESG PERFORMANCE MEASURES (Northern CoC)</b>	
<b>Objective #1</b>	<b>Reduce the average length of stay in emergency shelter</b>
Outcome #1	The average length of stay in the shelter is less than 75 days
Results #1	Average length of stay in shelters was 51 days
<b>Objective #2</b>	<b>Increased discharge to permanent housing from emergency shelters</b>
Outcome #2	At least 25% of homeless clients placed in permanent housing upon discharge from shelters
Results #2	Average for three shelters-36.67% of persons exited to a permanent destination
<b>Objective #3</b>	<b>Increase income for rapid re-housing clients</b>
Outcome #3	25% of clients will have increased income at exit from RRH programs
Results #3	Of the 27 adults who exited, 17 exited with income = 63%
<b>Objective #4</b>	<b>Increased housing retention for rapid re-housing clients</b>
Outcome #4	75% of clients placed in permanent housing will remain in that housing after 7 months
Results #4	100% of clients placed in PH remained after 7 months and did not become homeless again
<b>Objective #5</b>	<b>Increased discharge to non-ESG assisted housing</b>
Outcome #5	75% of clients receiving rapid re-housing assistance will transition to non-ESG funded permanent housing
Results #5	24 of 30 persons who exited went to PH = 80%

**ATTACHMENT D: HUD Form 40107, 40107-A and Contract Activity Report**

DRAFT

**ATTACHMENT E: Public Notice Advertisements and Affidavits**

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

**ATTACHMENT F: e-Con CAPER Download**

DRAFT

## CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

### Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

### Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected - Strategic Plan	Actual - Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected - Program Year	Actual - Program Year	Percent Complete
Create additional transitional and PSH	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Nevada Housing Trust Fund: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	250	0	0.00%	50	0	0.00%
Create additional transitional and PSH	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Nevada Housing Trust Fund: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	35	0	0.00%	7	0	0.00%

Enhance access to quality facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	70000	12279	17.54%	14000	12279	87.71%
Expand homeownership opportunities for low-mod	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	66	0	0.00%	13	0	0.00%
Improve access for special needs to services	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	200	0	0.00%	40	0	0.00%
Improve access for special needs to services	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	480	0	0.00%	96	0	0.00%
Improve infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	105000	13044	12.42%	21000	13044	62.11%
Increase availability rental housing for low-incom	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / Nevada Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	45	0	0.00%	9	0	0.00%

Increase housing for special needs populations	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
Increase life of affordable rental and owner house	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ / Nevada Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	27	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
Increase life of affordable rental and owner house	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ / Nevada Housing Trust Fund: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	20	0	0.00%	4	4	100.00%
Provide employment opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	25	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
Provide infrastructure and other planning support	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	65000	10869	16.72%	13000	10869	83.61%

Provide support to those at risk of homelessness	Homeless	HOME: \$1000000 / ESG: \$ / Nevada Housing Trust Fund: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	2500	0	0.00%	500	0	0.00%
Retain and expand existing businesses	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	100	5	5.00%	20	0	0.00%
Support data collection for homeless services	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	95	0	0.00%	95	0	0.00%
Support existing sub-recipients serving homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$ / Nevada Housing Trust Fund: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	3000	0	0.00%	600	0	0.00%
Support recruitment and attraction of new business	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	125	0	0.00%	25	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The highest priorities for the CDBG program are the Community Development Priorities 10 through 15 in the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan. The

ranking was Economic Development, Water Systems, Public Facilities, and Infrastructure. A significant project that helps with economic development is the SBDC Business Counseling classes provided to people who are LMI-C. In the 2015 PY, 114 people participated in that program, which is not captured in the data shown in the tables above. The State CDBG non-entitlement program funds water/wastewater projects, as well as other infrastructure projects that enhance communities as desirable places in which to work and live. The greatest percentage of CDBG funding is allocated to those projects: for 2015, that represented 62.12%. Another 15.99 percent was allocated to planning projects that will result in additional water/wastewater and infrastructure projects.

In Nevada, the Regional Redevelopment Authorities are the entities in Nevada that create economic opportunities for all people, including LMI individuals. The CDBG program strives to participate in job creation projects, but few opportunities are put forth by local jurisdictions.

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG
White	57,463	98	0	0
Black or African American	914	17	0	0
Asian	763	13	0	0
American Indian or American Native	0	1	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	209	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>59,349</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Hispanic	0	37	0	0
Not Hispanic	0	92	0	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

### Narrative

**CDBG:** There also were 104 individuals who identified as Asian/White; 176 who identified as African American/White; 487 who identified as American Indian/Alaskan & White; and 882 who identified as Other Multi-Racial. This brings the total to the 60,998 shown in the table above.

Additionally, a total of 51,594 individuals were assisted with projects that were LMI-Area Wide.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

### Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG			
HOME			
HOPWA			
ESG			
Other	Nevada Housing Trust Fund		

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

### Narrative

CDBG: CDBG received an allocation from HUD in the amount of \$2,447,641; \$270,287.79 was de-obligated from other projects. With \$148,952.81 for administration and \$24,476.41 for technical assistance, \$2,544,500 was available for projects and was fully allocated.

### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Non-Entitlement Jurisdictions		100	The geographic area includes the non-entitlement cities and communities in Nevada.
Non-Entitlement Jurisdictions	100	100	The geographic area includes the non-entitlement cities and communities in Nevada.
Non-Entitlement Plus Carson City			Other

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

### Narrative

CDBG: The CDBG program does not allocate funds geographically. Funds are allocated by applicants from across rural Nevada: the non-entitlement areas.

## Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG: CDBG contributed \$2,544,500 to 20 projects for 2015. The total cost of those projects was \$6,887,307.96

<b>Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match</b>	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

**Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report**

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

**HOME MBE/WBE report**

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period	Amount received during reporting period	Total amount expended during reporting period	Amount expended for TBRA	Balance on hand at end of reporting period
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Table 7 – Program Income

<b>Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period</b>						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

**Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises**

<b>Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted</b>						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property**

<b>Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition</b>						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition**

**CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)**

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of homeless households to be provided affordable housing units		
Number of non-homeless households to be provided affordable housing units		
Number of special-needs households to be provided affordable housing units		
<b>Total</b>		

**Table 11 – Number of Households**

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through rental assistance		
Number of households supported through the production of new units		
Number of households supported through the rehab of existing units		
Number of households supported through the acquisition of existing units		
<b>Total</b>		

**Table 12 – Number of Households Supported**

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	0	0
Moderate-income	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 13 – Number of Persons Served**

**Narrative Information**



**CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)**

**Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:**

**Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

**Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

**Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs**

**Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

**CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)**

**Actions taken to address the needs of public housing**

**Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership**

**Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

**CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)**

**Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)**

**Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

**Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

**Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

**Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The Governor's Office of Economic Development, Department of Business and Industry, and the Department of Health & Human Services all have individual institutional structures. Within each Office or Department, there are divisions that administer HUD programs. The CDBG Program is in the Rural Community & Economic Development Division of the Governor's Office of Economic Development. The HOME and ESG programs are in the Nevada Housing Division of the Department of Business & Industry. The HOPWA program is in the Division of Public and Behavioral Health in the Department of Health and Human Services. Each Division has its institutional structure, as well.

The CDBG program has been working to make the project development/application process more collaborative by developing an on-line application and grant management process with ZoomGrants, a company familiar with the HUD formula programs. During the 2015 program year, CDBG staff members researched various on-line systems and found that ZoomGrants was the most user-friendly and was reasonable in cost. In 2015, applicants registered in the system. The application process for 2017 will be completely on-line. Training was done across the state in June, wrapping up the 2015 CDBG Program Year.

**Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

**Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the**

## **jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

### **Affirmative Marketing Actions and Outreach to Minority- and Women-Owned Businesses**

The State continues to require that all recipients of State HOME dollars adopt an affirmative marketing plan as described in 24 CFR 92.351. Requirements were set forth in funding contracts and consist of actions required by recipients to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons from all racial, ethnic and gender groups in the housing market.

The State of Nevada has a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program. The Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program is comprised of minority and women owned businesses that are socially and economically disadvantaged. The State keeps a list of qualified contractors on its website which can be located at <http://www.nevadadbe.com>.

The Housing Division has changed its data collection procedures in order to get a clearer picture of who is being served. All of the recipients are aware of the new data collection procedures and the Division has incorporated the requirement into all of its housing programs.

Silver State Fair Housing Council did multiple trainings throughout the State. Information was forwarded to all of the managing companies. The State continues to work closely with Silver State Fair Housing Council ensuring that the State is addressing any issues that Housing and Urban Development may have with regard to fair housing. Silver State Fair Housing Council provides information and assistance with fair housing issues to all residents of Nevada, including housing consumers, housing providers and state/local agencies. They have a statewide Fair Housing Hotline, which is 1-888-585-8634.

The State's HOME program has done a good job of ensuring that minorities have had access to HOME funds. As of last quarter's snapshot of the state, the rental projects have housed seventy-nine percent (79%) White households, one percent (1%) Native American households, one percent (1%) Black households and nineteen percent (19%) Hispanic households. The homebuyer projects have assisted ninety-six (96%) White households and four percent (4%) Black households.

The Division still works closely with the Governor's Council on Disability.

## **CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230**

**Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements**

**The frequency and method of monitoring CDBG grantees and grant-funded activities:**

Grantees are monitored through a quarterly reporting system, by site visits, regular communication with grantees, and as Draw Requests are submitted. Prior to closing grants, program staff members review the CDBG office grant files for completion, make on-site visits to ensure grantees' files are complete and that all required reports are on file. A risk analysis approach is used in deciding which grantees require field monitoring and in determining the monitoring calendar.

During the past program year, four (4) monitoring visits were conducted on-site. Monitoring on-site is considered an opportunity to work with grantees in a reciprocal manner. Staff learns more about the community and concerns the grantee may have; the grantee learns more about CDBG regulations and processes.

Additionally, CDBG staff members have devised an internal grant management system by which grantees are not allowed to proceed to a next step if all documentation is not current and in place. The step process varies according to the type of grant project but has helped eliminate the problem of "missing" documentation at the end of a project.

## **Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)**

**Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.**

Nevada's formula programs make the CAPER available in all non-entitlement areas. Notices are published in each rural part of the state and local jurisdictions post notices informing the public of the availability of the annual performance report.

During the annual action planning process, notices are published and posted for a minimum of 30 days and the draft plan is available in local jurisdictions for review. There also are extensive public participation meetings during the application processes when the public can contribute ideas for projects to be implemented.

See CDBG web page:

<http://www.diversifynevada.com/programs-resources/cdbg/division-documents>

for the current Citizen Participation Plan.

**CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)**

**Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.**

There have been no changes in the nature of the state's program objectives for the current 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan period. Since 2010, when the CDBG program was included in the creation of the Governor's Office of Economic Development, the objective has been to more closely align the program with the goals of the Office. That includes focusing on regional planning and participation in projects that have a greater impact on economic development in an area. The focus is on fewer but larger projects and/or planning grants that result in projects that help ensure economic development in a region. Collaborative planning with other funders, as well as communities and regions, also helps ensure that meaningful projects that will have the greatest impact are funded.

<b>Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?</b>	No
--	----

**[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.**

**CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)**

**Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations**

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

**Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)**

**Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics**

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)**

**CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)**

**Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided**

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

<b>Number of Households Served Through:</b>	<b>One-year Goal</b>	<b>Actual</b>
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments		
Tenant-based rental assistance		
Units provided in transitional housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds		
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds		
<b>Total</b>		

**Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served**

**Narrative**

# CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

## ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

### For Paperwork Reduction Act

#### 1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

##### Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	NEVADA
Organizational DUNS Number	878649110
EIN/TIN Number	886000022
Identify the Field Office	SAN FRANCISCO
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

##### ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mr
First Name	James
Middle Name	D
Last Name	Hansen
Suffix	0
Title	Grants and Projects Analyst II

##### ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	1535 Old Hot Springs Road, Suite 50
Street Address 2	0
City	Carson City
State	NV
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	7756872042
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	jdhanen@housing.nv.gov

##### ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	ANN
Last Name	HAWKINS
Suffix	0
Title	Grants and Projects Analyst III
Phone Number	7756872043
Extension	0
Email Address	AHAWKINS@HOUSING.NV.GOV

**2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete**

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2015
Program Year End Date	06/30/2016

**3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient**

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name**  
**City**  
**State**  
**Zip Code**  
**DUNS Number**  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider**  
**Subrecipient Organization Type**  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount**

## CR-65 - Persons Assisted

### 4. Persons Served

#### 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
<b>Total</b>	

Table 15 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

#### 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
<b>Total</b>	

Table 16 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

#### 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
<b>Total</b>	

Table 17 – Shelter Information

**4d. Street Outreach**

<b>Number of Persons in Households</b>	<b>Total</b>
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
<b>Total</b>	

**Table 18 – Household Information for Street Outreach**

**4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG**

<b>Number of Persons in Households</b>	<b>Total</b>
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
<b>Total</b>	

**Table 19 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG**

**5. Gender—Complete for All Activities**

	<b>Total</b>
Male	
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
<b>Total</b>	

**Table 20 - Gender Information**

**6. Age—Complete for All Activities**

	<b>Total</b>
Under 18	
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
<b>Total</b>	

**Table 21 – Age Information**

**7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities**

**Number of Persons in Households**

<b>Subpopulation</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – Prevention</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – RRH</b>	<b>Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters</b>
Veterans				
Victims of Domestic Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically Homeless				
<b>Persons with Disabilities:</b>				
Severely Mentally Ill				
Chronic Substance Abuse				
Other Disability				
<b>Total (unduplicated if possible)</b>				

**Table 22 – Special Population Served**

**CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes**

**10. Shelter Utilization**

Number of New Units – Rehabbed	
Number of New Units – Conversion	
Total Number of bed - nighths available	
Total Number of bed - nighths provided	
Capacity Utilization	

**Table 23 – Shelter Capacity**

**11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)**

## CR-75 – Expenditures

### 11. Expenditures

#### 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
<b>Subtotal Homelessness Prevention</b>			

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

#### 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
<b>Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing</b>			

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

#### 11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Essential Services			
Operations			
Renovation			
Major Rehab			
Conversion			
<b>Subtotal</b>			

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

**11d. Other Grant Expenditures**

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Street Outreach			
HMIS			
Administration			

**Table 27 - Other Grant Expenditures**

**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

Total ESG Funds Expended	2013	2014	2015

**Table 28 - Total ESG Funds Expended**

**11f. Match Source**

	2013	2014	2015
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds			
Other Federal Funds			
State Government			
Local Government			
Private Funds			
Other			
Fees			
Program Income			
<b>Total Match Amount</b>			

**Table 29 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities**

**11g. Total**

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2013	2014	2015

**Table 30 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

DRAFT



Prepared by the Governor's Office of Economic Development  
808 West Nye Lane, Carson City, NV 89703  
Office & TDD Telephone (775) 687-9900

Partner Agencies:

State of Nevada's Housing Division  
State of Nevada's Health Division

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

The *FY 2015 State of Nevada Consolidated Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)* represents a collaborative effort between the Governor's Office of Economic Development: Community Development Block Grant Program, the Department of Business and Industry: Nevada Housing Division, and the Department of Health and Human Services: Health Division. This document outlines the State's affordable housing and community development resources, funding objectives, and actions by the State to meet those objectives during the past fiscal year.

Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability in the programs of a public agency. Persons who need information contained in this publication in an alternate format may call Jean Barrette, CDBG Program Administrator, at the Governor's Office of Economic Development, (775) 687-9900; for hearing impaired call TDD (775) 687-9906, Fax (775) 687-9924, or email @ [jbarrette@diversifynevada.com](mailto:jbarrette@diversifynevada.com).